#### Nino Sharashenidze

Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Georgia

## Modality in Georgian: Methods of Teaching and Strategies for Foreign Speakers

(using the example of teaching Georgian as a second language and as a foreign language)

### **Abstract**

Modality is a semantic category which, in many languages, is rendered by polysemantic and polyfunctional forms. This means that one and the same modal form may express different modal meanings when used in different contexts and combined with different verb-forms. This is considered to be a significant, specific feature of the Georgian language due to the fact that different forms of one and the same verb, when used with the same modal form, reveal different meanings. Therefore, translating modal forms may become a challenge for the translator as this process requires taking all the semantic nuances into consideration. In order to achieve this, it is crucial to set up a subsystem based on a certain principle, to fully reflect various semantic nuances of the meaning expressed by modal forms. To set up such a subsystem is necessary for effective teaching of a foreign language and it should fully reflect this important feature of the Georgian language in the process of teaching and therefore, in textbooks.

This paper presents this subsystem together with the methods and strategies to be taken into consideration whilst teaching the Georgian language. More specifically, the paper will discuss the possibilities of employing this subsystem in the process of teaching Georgian as well as the typology of the exercises and relevant practice at class.

*Key words*: modality, modal meaning, semantic analysis of a sentence.

### Introduction

Modality plays a most important role in the language as it reveals permanent relations between the proposition and its semantics. According to the broad understanding of the topic, a sentence or discourse cannot be devoid of modal meaning. There is always a certain attitude felt towards the meaning expressed by the sentence-cognitive, emotional or rational. The speaker always expresses such an attitude although the means employed in the process may be

pp. 61-74

different. Specifically, the attitude towards the proposition can be expressed by the mood of the verb-form and modal elements as well as by focus, word order, intonation, gestures and facial expressions.

The main means of expressing modality as a semantic category are language forms, which notwithstanding a context, reveal a definite modal semantics. Therefore the main elements of expressing modality are language forms or modal verbs and elements.

The Modal system is involved in every level of language learning and teaching. In addition, the level on which various forms and semantics of modals should be taught has also to be defined.

In Georgian there are two verbs which express Georgian modal system - **ndoma** (want) and shedzleba (can). It should be noted that these verbs are used as independent

verbs in Georgian and possess the system of conjugation as well as all three persons of singular and plural. As for the modal element, this is the singular form of the third person - unda (wants/ must) which is added, unchanged, to the verb paradigm. The construction renders several modal meanings. It should also be taken into consideration that the constructions are different. Specifically, the subject is in the dative case. However, in the second example the subject requires the construction of the main verb or, in other words, it appears in the case required by the main verb. This can be of either nominative or ergative construction: ის უნდა წავიდეს (is unda tsavides- he/she must go) - მან უნდა გააკეთოს (man unda gaaketos-He/she must do):

უნდა Unda ( want ) as an independent verb -	Unda (must) as a modal verb -does not change			
changes according to the person and number	according to person and number			
<b>მემინდა</b> გა <b>ვ</b> აკეთო ( <b>me minda</b> gavaketo- <b>I</b>	<b>მე უნდა</b> გა <b>ვ</b> აკეთო ( <b>me unda</b> ga <b>v</b> aketo- <b>I must</b>			
want to do)	do)			
<b>შენგინდა</b> გააკეთო ( <b>shen ginda</b> gaaketo- <b>you</b>	<b>შენ უნდა</b> გააკეთო ( <b>shen unda</b> gaaketo- <b>you</b>			
(t) want to do)	must do)			
<u>მას უ</u> ნდა გააკეთოს ( <u>mas unda</u> gaaketo <b>s</b> -	<u>მან</u> უნდა გააკეთო <b>ს (<u>mas</u> unda</b> gaaketo <b>s - he</b>			
<u>he/she</u> wants to do)	must do)			

R306 გ306და გავაკეთოთ (chven gvinda gavaketot-we want to do)

თქვენ გინდათ გააკეთოთ (tkven gindat gaaketot-you (V) want to do)

მათ უნდათ გააკეთონ (mat undat gaaketonthey want to do)

R306 უნდა გავაკეთოთ (chven unda gavaketongaaketot-you πust do)

(V) unda gaaketot-you must do

(Δ500 უნდა გააკეთონ (mat unda gaaketonthey must do)

Thus, this feature usually presents a significant problem in the process of teaching and learning a language and it is crucial to take this into consideration.

As well as this, there are other modal elements which are added to the verb to give them modal semantics: ეგებ ( egeb), റქნებ ( ikneb), ლამის (lamis), തറത്വെസ് (titkos),

თითქმის (titkmis), კინაღამ (kinagham), მაინც ( maints). For the Georgian the combination of the mood and a modal element proves to be relevant. Specifically, in the system of the Georgian language modality makes up the following semantic groups:

Semantics	Modal form	Example
Logical possibility	შევძლებ (	შევძლებ წასვლას / გაკეთებას(shevdzleb
	shevdzleb- I will be	tsasvlas/gaketebas - I can/ I will be able to go/to
	able/I can)	do)
	შესაძლოა	შესაძლოა მოვიდეს / გააკეთოს(shesadzloa
	(shesadzloa-perhaps)	movides/gaaketos- perhaps she/ he is able
	შესაძლებელია	come/do
	(shesadzlebelia- it is	
	possible)	შესაძლეზელია მოვიდეს / გაკეთდეს
		(Shsadzlebelia movides/gaketdes- It is possible
		for sb to come/smth to be done)
Possibility	ალბათ (perhaps)	ალზათ მოვა / იტყვის (albat mova/itkvis)
	იქნებ (may, proba-	Perhaps he/she comes/says
	bly/ maybe)	
		იქნებ მოვიდეს / გააკეთოს(ikneb mo-
		vide/gaaketos- Maybe he will come/do)

Supposition	ეგებ (egeb-possibly	ეგებ მოვიდა / მოვიდეს(egeb				
	/maybe, may (have))	movida/movides-He may have come/he may				
		come)				
Logical necessity	უნდა (unda- must	უნდა იყოს / გაეკეთებინა / ახსოვდეს(unda				
	(have) /should (have))	ikos/gaeketebina/axsovdes- (it) should/must be/				
		(he/she) must have done/should remember				
Obligation, duty	უნდა (unda)	უნდა დაწერო / გააკეთო ((you (t) unda da-				
	must/should	tsero/gaaketo - you (t)should/must write/do)				
Prohibition	ნუ (do not, should	ნუ აკეთებ / კითხულობ (nu				
	not)	aketeb/kitxulob- (you) do not/should not				
		do/read)				
Permission	შეიძლება( sheidzle-	შეიძლეგა წავიდე / გავაკეთო? (sheidzleba				
	ba -it is possible,	tsavide/gavaketo? May I go?/do? Is it possible				
	may)	for me to go?)				
	შეგიძლია (shegi-	შეგიძლია წახვიდე / გააკეთო(shegidzlia				
	dzlia- you can/ may)	tsaxvide/gaaketo-(you(t) can/may go/do)				
	შეუძლია (sheudzlia-	შეუძლია წავიდეს / გააკეთოს(sheudzlia				
	he can, may )	tsavides/gaaketos-(he/she ) can/may go/do)				
Ability and capability	შემიძლია (shemi-	შემიძლია ცურვა / კითხვა(shemidzlia tsur-				
	dzlia- I can)	va/kitxva-I can swim/read)				
	ვიცი (vitsi-I know/ I	ვიცი ცურვა / კითხვა(vitsi tsurva/kitkhva-I				
	can)	know how to swim/I can swim)				
Negation of ability	ვერ (ver-I cannot/ be	ვერ ვცურავ / ვკითხულობ (ver				
and capability	able to)	vtsurav/vkitxulob (I cannot swim/read)				
		ვერ გავაკეთებ / წავიკითხავ(I cannot				
		do/read - I will not be able to do/read)				
Desire (Volition)	იქნებ( ikneb-	იქნებ წავიდე / გავაკეთო(ikneb				
	perhaps)	tsavide/gavaketo -Perhaps I can go/do)				
	ნეტავ (netav- if only)					
Strong desire	ნეტავ(netav-if only	ნეტავ წავიდე / გავაკეთო(netav				
	(I) could)	tsavide/gavaketo- If only I could go/do)				
Evaluation-	ლამის(lamis-nearly)	ლამის ჩამოვარდა / გატყდა(lamis				
approximation	თითქმის(titkmis-	chamovarda/gatkda-It nearly dropped/broke)				

	almost)	თითქმის გააკეთა / დაწერა(titkmis
	კინაღამ(kinagham-	gaaketa/dastera- he/she nearly did/wrote)
	almost, nearly)	, , ,
Evaluation-	തറതപ്പന്ധ(titkos-as if)	თითქოს მოვიდა / გააკეთა(titkos
imagination	ვითომ(vitom-as if)	movida/gaaketa -as if he/she came/did )
		ვითომ მოვიდა / ვითომ გააკეთა(vitom
		movida/gaaketa -as if he /she came/did)
Emotional evaluation	განა (Did he/she. re-	განა გააკეთა / თქვა(gana gaaketa/tkva -did
(surprise-amazement)	ally?)	he really do /say that?)
	ნუთუ (Has he/she	ნუთუ დაასრულა / მოვიდა?(nutu
	really?)	daasrula/movida? -did he really finish/come?
	აკი (I thought did	აკი არ მინდაო? (aki ar mindao?-did he not
	he (she) not)	say he did not want it?)
	ნეტავ(I wonder if )	ნეტავ რა უნდა?ნეტავ იცის ეს
		ამგავი?(netav ra unda? netav itsis es ambavi?-
		what does he want? I wonder if he knows about
		this event?)
Evaluation-decision	ღირს*(ghirs- it is	არ ღირს ამის გაკეთება (arghirs amis
	worth)	gaketeba-it is not worth doing that)
		ღირს კი ამის გაკეთეზა? (ghirs ki amis
		gaketeba?-is it worth doing that?)
Belief-opinion	მაინც (still)	მაინც არ გავაკეთებ / წავალ (maints ar ga-
		vaketeb-tsavalstill I will not do that/go)
Mutual decision	მოდი ( modi-let us)	მოდი ერთად წავიდეთ / გავაკეთოთ (modi
		ertad tsavidet/gavaketot-let us go/do together)
	ავდექი და( avdeki	ავდექი და წავედი / გავაკეთე / ვუთხარი $(I$
Individual decision	da -I stood up and - I	stood up and went/did/saidI made up my mind
(in oral speech)	decided /made up my	and went/did/said)
	mind)	ადექი და წადი / გააკეთე / უთხარი(you
	ადექი და(adeki	stand up and go/do/say -now make up your
	da You made up	mind and go/do/say now you can take and
	your mind and why	go/do/say)
	not)	ადგა და წავიდა / გააკეთა / უთხრა(she/he

ადგა დ	os(adga	stood	and	wentHe	made	ир	his	mind	and
dahe made	up his	went/o	did/sa	aid					
mind and)									

## The polysemantic and polyfunctional nature of modal forms

Generally, it is typical of modal forms to combine several meanings and taking this into consideration, the question about their different functions arises. This is attested in other languages as well. For instance, in English one modal form can express several meanings. Usage of various forms of modal verbs in various functions is also common.

For instance, **could** besides being the past form of the modal verb **can**, can also act as a polite marker.

Likewise, in Georgian, several modal forms express different meanings. The table below reveals the specific features of modal forms in this respect.

ეგებ (egeb), იქნებ ( ikneb), ლამის (lamis), თითქოს (titkos), თითქმის (titkmis), კინაღამ (kinagham), მაინც (maints):

Modal form	Modal semantics
შეძლება (shedzleba - can)	Logical possibility
	• Permission
	Ability and capability
უნდა (unda- must)	Logical necessity
	Obligation and duty
	Objective necessity
იქნებ (ikneb-perhaps)	Probability
	Desire, will
ეგებ (egeb-perhaps)	Supposition
	Probability
ნეტავ (netav-if only)	Strong desire, wish
	• Emotional assessment (surprise-amazement)
მაინც (maints-still)	Belief-opinion
	Decision

ღირს ( ghirs- it is worth)	Independent verb
	Evaluation modality
ვიცი (vitsi- I know)	Independent verb
	Ability and possibility
მოდი (modi- let [us])	Independent verb
	Joint decision
ავდექი ( I stood up in the	Independent verb
meaning of I decided/made	Individual decision
up my mind)	

It is crucial to take these features into consideration while teaching the language and especially, while teaching grammar material in order to plan when and how to teach semantic issues of modal forms.

# Several meanings of one and the same modal form - must

**Must** is a modal form which expresses logical necessity. However, this is not the only type of meaning expressed by this modal form. The verb-form (mood and screeve)

also plays an important role. The construction reveals different meanings depending on the type of combinations. In the table below several constructions are discussed and, as the fact that their meaning is defined by the verb-form, should be taken into consideration in the process of teaching the language. It should also be noted that the analysis of such semantic nuances is possible only on a higher level of the mastery of the language.

Construction	Semantic function		
	Subjective approach of the speaker based on logical necessity, used		
Unda	in the meaning of objective truth.		
(must)+Conditional			
II, I person, plural			
(უნდა ვთქვათ un-			
da vtkvatwe			
must/should say)			

	The position of the speaker based on subjective perception and
Unda (must) + Condi-	presented as a logically unavoidable truth. It expresses the action
tional II	which will happen in the future combined with the meaning of
(( ଅଚିତ୍ର	advice and recommendation.
შეიცვალოსunda	
sheitsvalosit	
must/should change)	
Unda (must) + Opta-	Logical necessity and orientation on the result.
tive II	
(უნდა ჩამოსულიყო	
(unda chamosul-	
ikoshe/he should	
have arrived)	
Unda (must + Present	Expresses indefinite action, logical necessity with the additional
Conditional)	meaning of supposition or recommendation
(უნდა	
ახსოვდესunda	
akhsovdes he/she	
should remember)	

The system of modal forms and its significance for the correct planning of textbooks and the teaching process

In order to plan textbooks and the teaching process correctly, it is necessary to present grammatical and language forms in a way which would facilitate teaching communicative aspects of the language, at the same time avoiding functional and semantic

ambiguity of language forms. Taking this into consideration, besides well-selected communication topics, effective planning of functional grammar issues is also very important.

It is important to teach the means of rendering desire from the very first language level. To teach this meaning, the student is taught the verb "to want", which expresses desire. (e.g me minda tskali (I want some water). It is also important to teach the forms of conditional mood as it implies the

choice between several linguistic means. The additional meanings of obligation or decision

willresult in teaching four constructions on the first level.

(1)	Expresses desire
puri - I want bread)	
(2) მე მინდა პურის ყიდვა (.me	Expresses a desirable action
minda puris kidva -I want to	
buy bread)	
(3) მე მინდა პური ვიყიდო.(me	Expresses desire and decision
minsa puri vikido - I want to	
buy bread)	
(4) მე პური უნდა ვიყიდო. (me	Expresses decision or obligation
puri unda vikido - I must	
/have to /should buy bread)	

The fact that all the meanings are connected with one verb- form makes the situation harder although the semantics differs alongside the difference in constructions. Thus, it is crucial not to teach different meanings either on one and the same level or simultaneously.

It should be noted that teaching of issues of functional grammar should be done only after they have been carefully preplanned and thought over. In order to illustrate this, I will analyse one more form: "modi" (come) is an imperative form and de-

notes movement towards the speaker:  $\partial m QO$  QOSSDOOSD (modi dapastan- come to the blackboard);  $\partial m QOO BOOOSD$  (modi chemtancome to me). This construction and meaning can be taught on the first level of the language teaching. "'modi" (come)' is also used as a modal form denoting mutual decisions. Based on this meaning, it builds a completely different construction. Obviously these forms should be taught on different levels in order to separate their functions and semantics. At the same time, the modal form should not be taught before the verb itself.

	Movement towards the speaker:	Mutual decision:	
მოდი (modi)	მოდი დაფასთან (modi dapastan-	მოდი, ერთად წავიდეთ (modi ertad	
come / let us	come to the blackboard)	tsavide- let us go together)	
	მოდი მაგიდასთან (modi magidas-	მოდი, ერთად წავიკითხოთ.(modi er-	
	tan-come to the table)	tad tsavikitxot-let's read it together)	
	მოდი ჩემთან (modi chemtan-come	მოდი, ერთად გავაკეთოთ (modi, er-	
	to me	tad gavaketot- let's do it together)	

All of this reveals how important it is to correctly analyse grammatical forms and then arrange and teach them following a certain principle. This will solve the issue of functional, formal or semantic ambiguity and make the process of language acquisition much simpler.

The system of modality and interrelationship of levels of language competence.

Consistent planning and teaching of the modal system is an inseparable part of

language teaching. It is obvious that the author of a textbook and a teacher can set up a system based on a certain principles although it is of paramount importance to take a range of issues into consideration. First of all, this means setting up a subsystem which would reveal the interrelationship between teaching modal semantics and levels of language competence. Taking into consideration the above-discussed issue, this problem can be presented as follows:

Levels of lan-	Modal forms	Semantics of modal forms
guage compe-		
tence		
A 1	(თუ) შეიძლება-(tu) shei-	Permission
	dzleba -(If) It is possible	Ability and capability
	ვიცი (vitsi- I know)	Obligation, duty
	უნდა (unda-must. have to)	Possibility
	ალბათ (albat -perhaps, prob-	Probability
	ably)	
A 2	ვერ (ver-unable to)	Negation of ability and possibility

	ნუ (nu- do not+verb)	Prohibition
	უნდა (unda- must)	Logical necessity
	შემიძლია (shemidzlia- I	Ability and possibility
	can)	Mutual decision
	მოდი (modi-let us)	Strong desire
	ნეტავ (netav-if only)	
B 1	შევძლებ (shevdzleb- I will	Logical possibility/ ability
	be able to)	Probability
	იქნებ (ikneb-perhaps)	Emotional evaluation
	განა (gana), ნუთუ (nutu),	Belief and opinion
	აკი (aki), ნეტავ (netav)	
	მაინც (maints-still)	
B 2	შესაძლოა, შესაძლებელია	Logical possibility
	(shesadzloa, shesadlzebelia -it	Desire
	is probable/ possible)	Supposition
	იქნებ (ikneb-perhaps)	Evaluation, approximation
	ეგებ (egeb-perhaps)	Evaluation, imagination
	ლამის(lamis-almost),	Evaluation-decision
	თითქმის (titkmis– almost/	
	nearly) კინაღამ( kinagham-	
	nearly)	
	თითქოს (titkos - as if)	
	ვითომ (vitom- as if)	
	ღირს (ghirs- it is worth)	
C 1		
C2		

On advanced levels of language competence (C 1, C2) the learnt language forms are processed and their meanings are transposed and this process is based on texts and oral speech.

### Methods and strategies of acquisition of the semantics of modal forms, types of exercises

In the process of language teaching it is crucial to select methods and strategies correctly. The method based on writing is deemed to be extremely productive when learning the issues related to grammar as it facilitates the acquisition of the material. In addition, the **method of visual props** also acquires special importance as it presents a good opportunity to teach models and constructions effectively. Constructions expressing certain meanings need special analysis as, together with the modal form, part of the construction may include an infinitive, conditional or a verb form in indicative mood. Therefore, a model, which will be presented to the learners during lessons or in the textbooks, should be set up for each of the meanings rendered. At the same time, as is known, the Georgian verb is not characterised by one and the same model of formation. Thus, it is important that the construction should include groups of verbs sharing the same model of formation. Question and answer method will also be very productive as it develops both writing and speaking skills equally.

On the initial state of language learning it is recommended to present not only models but, also, sentences in real-life situations. After the semantic analysis of sentences it is advisable to give students nonauthentic texts or dialogues created for certain purposes and based on certain communicative situations. Special Emphasis on forms and constructions in such texts will facilitate the process of learning and memorising. Only after such vigorous analysis can the learner conduct reproduction and production stages effectively and make up constructions, sentences and texts independently. A variety of exercises applied will make this process easier. Each of these exercises should be oriented on acquiring the material and developing certain skills. From the point of expressing modality it is important to select constructions and semantics correctly which can be achieved by the learners doing practical exercises of various types.

Types of exercises:	Purpose:
Insertion of correct modal forms in the sen-	The student should select one of the several
tence	modal forms provided and insert it into the sen-
	tence. This exercise encourages and facilitates
	the selection of the modal form suitable to the
	meaning.

The student is to select and match a correct
verb-form to the modal form provided in the
sentence. This exercise also facilitates making up
of relevant modal constructions.
This exercise develops the skill of sentence
comprehension and making up a correct con-
struction
This exercise develops the skill for selection of
the correct semantics and construction
This exercise develops the skill for the usage of a
modal form and its construction to express cer-
tain meanings.
This exercise facilitates precise semantic render-
ing of the communication situation.
This exercise develops the knowledge of seman-
tic nuances/hues of modal forms
Develops production skills
Develops production skill
Develops production skills

The strategies and methodology discussed above can be equally employed whilst teaching Georgian as a second language as well as while teaching it as a foreign language. However, there are certain differences observed as well. Specifically, when teaching a second language, the linguistic environment the learner is exposed to acquires a significant importance as it plays a certain role in the process of communica-

tion. In order to understand a certain modal meaning it is also possible to employ such non-verbal means as gestures, facial expressions, intonation as well as other means of non-verbal communication. This is less probable for the process of teaching a foreign language when the leaner is not exposed to authentic language environment which leads to the need for increasing the number of practical exercises.

### **References**

.

HAAN, F. de, 2009. Epistemic must, Studies on English Modality. 2009

HARRIS, A. C.,1995. Modal Auxilaries in Georgian, "Philological Papers", Tbilisi, 1995.

JORBENADZE, B., 1993. Diverbal Modal Elements in Georgian. Issues of Georgian Word Culture. Volume 10, Tbilisi. 1993.

KOTINOV, N., 1986. The Category of Mood and Certain Issues of Georgian Syntax, Tbilisi. 1986.

PALMER, F. R., 1999. Modality and the English Modals, London: Longman. 1999.

PALMER, F. R., 2001. Mood and Modality, Cambridge: University Press. 2001

PAPIDZE A., 1981. The Functions of Subjunctive Two in Contemporary Literary Georgian, Issues of Georgian Word Culture, Volume Four, Tbilisi. 1981.

PAPIDZE, A., 1984. Certain Issues Related to the Function of Present Subjunctive, Issues of Georgian Word Culture, volume 6. Tbilisi. 1984.

SHARASHENIDZE, N., 1999. The History and Morphosyntactic analysis of the verb "MUST". Linguistic Papers, # 4. Tbilisi. 1999 -

SHARASHENIDZE, N., 2014., Epistemic Modality in Georgian, Verbum, Vilnius, 2014